Access to sterile syringes among young drug injectors in Madrid and Barcelona and its association with risk behaviour

María J. Bravo^a / Luis Royuela^b / Gregorio Barrio^c / M.^a Teresa Brugal^d / Antònia Domingo^e / Luis de la Fuente^f and Itínere Project Group^{*}

CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP);
 European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction;
 Departamento de Medicina Preventiva, Salud Pública e Historia de la Ciencia, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, España;
 Agència de Salut Pública de Barcelona, Barcelona, España;
 Institut Municipal d'Investigació Médica (IMIM), Barcelona, España;
 Centro Nacional de Epidemiología, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, España.

(Acceso a jeringas estériles entre los jóvenes de Madrid y Barcelona que se inyectan drogas y su asociación con las prácticas de riesgo)

Abstract

Background and objective: To evaluate access to sterile syringes and its association with injection risk behaviour in Madrid and Barcelona.

Materials and methods: Cross-sectional community study by computer-assisted personal interview in 465 young heroin injectors between 2001 and 2003.

Results: Some 4.2% had not obtained any free sterile syringes in the previous 12 months. In Madrid 32.1% had obtained all their sterile syringes free of charge (ASSF), versus 44.6% in Barcelona (p < 0.01). Not sharing (not using syringes used by someone else and not front/backloading) was associated with obtaining ASSF (OR = 1.69) and with sporadic injection (OR = 1.83). Not reusing one's own syringes was associated with the same two variables (OR = 4.02 and OR = 2.50, respectively).

Conclusions: Access to sterile syringes is very high in Madrid and Barcelona, although the two cities have different approaches. The acquisition of all syringes free of charge should be facilitated, especially among frequent injectors.

Key words: Drug injection. Syringe exchange programmes. Risk behaviour. HIV.

Resumen

Objetivo: Evaluar el acceso a jeringas estériles y su asociación con prácticas de inyección de riesgo en Madrid y Barcelona. *Material y método:* Estudio transversal en la comunidad de 465 jóvenes que se inyectan heroína, realizado entre 2001 y 2003, mediante entrevista asistida por ordenador.

Resultados: Un 4,2% no obtuvo jeringas estériles gratuitas en los últimos 12 meses. En Madrid, el 32,1% obtuvo todas las jeringas estériles gratis (TJEG), frente al 44,6% de Barcelona (p < 0,01). No compartir (no utilizar jeringas ajenas usadas o droga diluida en las usadas por otros) se asoció con obtener TJEG (odds ratio [OR] = 1,69) e inyectarse esporádicamente (OR = 1,83). No reutilizar las jeringas propias se asoció con las mismas variables (OR = 4,02 y OR = 2,50, respectivamente).

Conclusiones: En Madrid y Barcelona el acceso a jeringas estériles es muy elevado, aunque con modelos diferentes. Debe facilitarse la obtención de todas las jeringas estériles gratis, especialmente entre los jóvenes que se inyectan con mayor frecuencia.

Palabras clave: Inyección de drogas. Programas de intercambio de jeringas. Conductas de riesgo. VIH.

*Itínere Project Group: Rosario Ballesta, Francisco González, Francisco Bru, Yolanda Castellano, David Fernández, Daniel Lacasa, Eusebio Mejías, Montserrat Neira, José Pulido, Sofía Ruiz, Fernando Sánchez, Teresa C Silva y Fernando Vallejo.

Correspondence: Luis de la Fuente. Centro Nacional de Epidemiología. Instituto de Salud Carlos III. Madrid. Sinesio Delgado 6. 28029 Madrid. Spain. E-mail: mbravop@isciii.es

Received: 6 October 2006. Accepted: 12 June 2007.

Introduction

ver the last 15 years, a growing number of initiatives have facilitated the provision of sterile syringes to drug injectors in Spain, primarily syringe exchange programmes (SEPs) and subsidised sales in pharmacies. The SEPs have branched out to new locations¹, and some injectors obtain their supplies through these programmes and subsequently sell them² (satellite distributors – SDs)³. However, the development of the SEPs has not been geographically homogeneous: in 2003 there were 254 SEPs with 1,560 exchange points (EPs) (each location or site where syringe exchange takes place), 799 of which (51.2%) were

Table 1. Proportion of young drug injectors who obtain all their sterile syringes free of charge, according to variables related with sociodemographics, injection, sexual behaviour and serological status (HIV, HCV). It

	n	Percentage	р	
City				
Madrid	60/185	32.1	< 0.01	
Barcelona	115/258	44.6		
Current age				
≤ 25	79/186	42.5	NS	
> 25	96/259	37.1		
Sex				
Male	126/330	38.2	NS	
Female	49/115	42.6		
Nationality	·			
Foreign	139/374	37.2	< 0.05	
Spanish	36/71	50.7		
ype of residence				
Houses, flats, shanties, occupied houses	123/342	36.0	< 0.01	
Streets, parks, no fixed abode and others	52/103	50.5	(0.0)	
Marginal or illegal activity to obtain money	02,100	00.0		
Yes	111/257	43.2	0.051	
No	64/188	34.0	0.001	
Years of drug injection	04/100	04.0		
> 5	91/234	38.9	NS	
≤5	82/206	39.8	INO	
Educational level	02/200	39.0		
Primary or lower	68/168	40.5	NS	
	106/276	38.4	INO	
Higher than primary Employment status	100/270	30.4	NS	
Working	52/136	20.2	INO	
		38.2 39.8		
Not working	123/309	39.8		
Frequency of injection of any drug	100/000	26.0	. 0.05	
Habitual (weekly or more)	122/339	36.0	< 0.05	
Sporadic (less than once a week)	50/100	50.0		
Most frequent location for drug injection	07.100	00.4	NO	
Private place (houses)	27/69	39.1	NS	
Squares, abandoned houses, cars, other	41/92	44.6		
Place where drugs are sold and consumed	107/284	37.7		
njected with syringes used by others (a)				
Yes	33/111	29.7	< 0.05	
No	139/322	43.2		
Jsed own or others' syringes to backload (b)				
Yes	33/111	29.7	< 0.05	
No	142/334	42.5		
ntroduced the syringe into a recipient used by others				
Yes	62/160	38.8	NS	
No	113/284	39.8		
Cleaned skin with used cloths				
Yes	1/16	6.3	< 0.01	
No	174/429	40.6		
Rinsed needles or syringes in liquid used by others				
Yes	18/53	34.4	NS	
No	157/391	40.2		
Gave used syringes to others				
Yes	18/78	23.1	< 0.01	
No	156/366	42.6		
Reused own syringes				
Yes	81//289	28.1	< 0.001	
No	94/155	60.6		
	- 1, 100	20.0	(Continu	

Table 1. Proportion of young drug injectors who obtain all their sterile syringes free of charge, according to variables related with socio-demographics, injection, sexual behaviour and serological status (HIV, HCV). Itinere Project, 2001-03 (continue)

	n	Porcentage	р
Injected with syringes used by others or front/backloaded (a or b)			
Yes	56/176	31.8	< 0.01
No	119/269	44.2	
Exchanged sex for money, drugs or other merchandise			
Yes	37/85	43.1	NS
No	138/359	38.9	
HIV positive			
Yes	44/114	38.6	NS
No	130/328	39.6	
HCV positive			
Yes	124/324	38.3	NS
No	49/117	41.9	

HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; NS: no statistical significance (p > 0.05). All practices refers to the last 12 months.

in Catalonia and 66 (4.2%) in the Community of Madrid¹. Moreover, 664 pharmacies in Catalonia had an SEP, versus only 12 pharmacies in the Community of Madrid¹. Free syringes could be obtained only in the SEPs and there were no subsidised sales.

In other countries, SDs have been shown to improve access to sterile syringes in certain places or at certain times^{4,5} although these informal resources are associated with a greater risk of HIV infection than the use of more structured programmes⁴. The extent of SEP coverage is critical to assuring their effectiveness⁶.

The aim of this work is to describe the differences in access to sterile syringes among injectors in Madrid and Barcelona and to study sterile syringe access as a predictor of safer injection practices that may prevent the transmission of bloodborne infections.

Materials and methods

The methodology used in the ITÍNERE cohort has previously been described in detail. Independently of the social services, 991 young adults (18-30 years old) were recruited in the cities of Madrid, Barcelona and Seville between April 2001 and December 2003. All participants had used heroin on at least 12 days in the previous 12 months and on at least 1 day in the previous 3 months. The present analysis was restricted to the 465 participants from Madrid and Barcelona who had injected the drug in the previous 12 months and who answered three pre-coded questions: 1) Of all the sterile syringes you have used in the last 12 months, how many did you obtain free of charge? 2) From what kind of organization or service did you obtain the most free syringes in the last 12 months? 3) Where did you buy most of the syringes you purcha-

sed during this same time period? The 2.5% of injection drug users who did not respond were excluded. Their profile did not differ significantly from the rest of the population in terms of the main variables. The questionnaire was computer-assisted. Dried blood spot samples were also analysed (HIV, HCV).

The statistical significance of the differences was evaluated with the Chi square test for qualitative variables and by analysis of variance with subsequent comparisons with Scheffé's test for quantitative variables. Two dependent variables were explored separately in the logistic regression: «sharing» – injecting with used syringes or front/backloading (i.e., employing a used syringe to prepare the drug solution, which is then divided into one or more syringes for injection) and «reusing one's own syringes» – because these practices involve very different risks of transmitting infections and to avoid problems of co-linearity.

Results

Most respondents were men (73.4%), born in Spain (84.9%), and without regular employment (69.2%); their mean age was 25.8 years (95% CI 25.5-26.1) and mean duration of injecting drug use was 6.5 years (95% CI 6.0-6.91). Some 86.5% had injected in the last 30 days. Injection in private places, such as flats or houses, was more frequent in Barcelona (19.3%) than in Madrid (11.4%), where it more often took place in open places (sites of drug sales or consumption, public squares, abandoned houses or similar places) (p < 0.05).

Only 4.2% (20) had not obtained any free sterile syringes in the last 12 months, 1.9% in Barcelona and 7.4% in Madrid (p < 0.01). These injectors differed from the rest of the sample in that they were more frequently

women (45.0% vs. 25.8%; p=0.058) and sporadic users (61.1% vs. 22.8%; p < 0.001), and they less often injected in places where drugs are sold or consumed (10.0% vs. 63.8%; p < 0.001).

The way syringes were acquired - either all sterile syringes free of charge (ASSF) through SEPs or free plus purchased sterile syringes - was significantly associated with the city of residence. The proportion of drug users who obtained ASSF was smaller in Madrid than in Barcelona (table 1). In Barcelona, 62.8% obtained most of their free syringes from SEPs located in buses or vans, 20.5% from SEPs in pharmacies, 7.8% from SEPs at fixed locations, 5.7% through streetbased social workers or educators and 3.1% in other ways. In Madrid, the respective percentages were 82.5%, 0.5%, 8.7%, 2.7% and 5.5% (p < 0.001). Of those who had purchased syringes in the 12 months before the interview (286), 51.7% had bought them primarily in pharmacies and 48% in the street (67% and 32.4%, respectively, in Barcelona, vs. 34.8% and 65.2% in Madrid, p < 0.001). Obtaining ASSF was also significantly and positively associated with being Spanish, not having a fixed abode, injecting drugs sporadically and not exhibiting most of the injection risk behaviour investigated.

After adjusting for city, sociodemographic variables (sex, age, country of birth, educational level, employment status and type of residence), frequency of injection, years of injection, HIV and HCV status, prostitution and way of obtaining sterile syringes, «not sharing» (not injecting with used syringes and not front/backloading in syringes used by others) was associated with obtaining ASSF (OR = 1.69; 95% CI 1.11-2.56) and sporadic injection (OR = 1.83; 95% CI 1.11-3.03). Not reusing one's own syringes was associated with the same variables (OR = 4.02; 95% CI 2.59-6.24; and OR = 2.50; 1.52-4.12, respectively) (table

2). Among those who purchased syringes, a significant association was seen between not reusing and buying most syringes in the street (OR = 1.85 95% CI 1.02-3.34). Not sharing was not associated with the way syringes were purchased.

Discussion

This is the first study of access to sterile syringes in a community sample of drug injectors in Spain. Free sterile syringes were found to be widely accessible in Madrid and Barcelona, which is consistent with the considerable increase in SEPs over the last 15 years^{1,2}. However, there are important differences between the two cities: a smaller proportion obtained ASSF in SEPs in Madrid, where those who purchased syringes most often bought them in the street. Both findings are consistent with the much larger number of EPs in Barcelona⁸ (141) than in Madrid (27-31; personal communication, Marta Franco) in 2003, and with the small number of pharmacies authorised for syringe exchange in the latter city¹. It is possible that the number of syringes provided by the SEPs per year to each injector was not less in Madrid, but that a substantial proportion of syringes reach users through SDs and thus are not free of charge. It may also be that the number of free sterile syringes put into circulation in Madrid was too low to meet the demand. Although it is not easy to estimate coverage when the number of users is unknown, NGOs and local governments should make an effort in this regard. Furthermore, a certain balance should be maintained between structured programmes and informal resources that do not focus on transmitting preventive messages, do not collect used syringes, and do not fully guaran-

Table 2. Factors associated with not sharing syringes^a, or not reusing one's own syringes among young heroin injectors.

Itínere Project, 2001-03. Multivariate logistic regression analysis

	Not sharing		N	Not reusing	
	OR	95% IC	OR	95% IC	
Way sterile syringes were obtained					
Free in SEP and purchased from pharmacy or in the street	1.00		1.00		
All free in SEP	1.69	1.11-2.56	4.02	2.59-6.24	
Frequency of injection					
Habitual (weekly or more)	1.00		1.00		
Sporadic (less than once a week)	1.83	1.11-3.03	2.50	1.52-4.12	
Marginal or illegal activities to earn money					
Yes	1.00		1.00		
No	1.59	1.04-2.43	1.53	0.97-2.43	

^aNot sharing syringes = not injecting with syringes used by others and not front/backloading. Reference period: last 12 months. Both models were adjusted by city, sociodemographic variables, years of injection, HIV and HCV serological status and prostitution. N.º of cases retained in each model = 439. SEP = Syringe exchange programs. CI: confidence interval.

tee the sterility of injection material^{4,9}. It may be necessary to seek mechanisms to involve such a widespread resource as SDs in a structured way, as health agents.

In Madrid injection is more frequent in public or open places, and syringes are more often obtained in mobile SEPs. This seems consistent with the existence of a single vehicle-based programme in Barcelona, which in practice is used as a fixed site, and of public places where drugs are sold and consumed in many parts of Madrid. The characteristics of the illegal drug market and the needs of the injector should guide the types of SEPs to be developed, without forgetting that the characteristics or location of the programme may in some measure affect the choice of injection site.

It is logical that a smaller proportion of frequent injectors obtain ASSF; however, this could seriously limit the effectiveness of SEPs¹⁰, since those who inject more often have more opportunities to share injection material and to reuse their own. In fact, the regression analysis showed that those who inject fewer times, as well as those who obtain ASSF, have less risk behaviour (not sharing or not reusing). Frequent injectors need to have greater access to ASSF, particularly considering the high prevalence of different ways of sharing injection material^{3,11} and the high incidence of HIV or HCV in injectors in both cities¹². Moreover, among those who buy syringes, access to SDs has a protective effect with regard to reuse of one's own syringes. However, this resource seems to have a limited capacity to prevent sharing of injection material, which would support a greater effect of SEPs as compared to informal resources for HIV prevention^{4,9}.

This study has limitations stemming from its crosssectional design in a difficult-to-access population lacking a clear sampling framework, thus it is difficult to know the extent to which the sample is representative. To reduce socially desired responses, the interviews were not conducted either in treatment centres for drug dependence or in SEPs.

Acknowledgements

This research was financed primarily by the Fundación para Investigación y la Prevención del Sida en España (FIPSE 3035/99 – Foundation for AIDS Research and Prevention in Spain); the analysis was possible thanks to the support of the Ciber de Epidemiología y Salud Pública.

Our thanks to all the persons and institutions that helped with participant recruitment and follow-up and those that allowed us the use of their premises to conduct the interviews; to Marta Franco of the Agencia Antidroga of the Community of Madrid; and to all those who agreed to participate in ITÍ-NERE and formed part of the study sample.

References

- Secretaría del Plan Nacional sobre el Sida. Informe del cuestionario de actividades de prevención (ICAP) por VIH/sida en las comunidades autónomas [edición electrónica] 2005. Madrid: Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo; 2005. Disponible en http://www.msc.es/ciudadanos/enfLesiones/enfTransmisibles/sida/prevencion/informesAnualesCCAA.htm
- De la Fuente L, Bravo MJ, Barrio G, Parras F, Suárez M, Rodes A, et al. Lessons from the history of the human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome epidemic among Spanish drug injectors. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37 Suppl 5:410-5
- Bravo MJ, Royuela L, Barrio G, Rodríguez-Arenas MA, De la Fuente L. Prevalencia de prácticas indirectas de compartir material para inyectarse drogas en Galicia, Madrid, Sevilla y Valencia. Gac Sanit. 2004;18:472-8.
- Valente TW, Foreman RK, Junge B, Vlahov D. Needle-exchange participation, effectiveness, and policy: syringe relay, gender, and the paradox of public health. J Urban Health. 2001;78:340-9.
- Kuyper LM, Kerr T, Li K, Hogg RS, Tyndall MW, Montaner JS, et al. Factors associated with buying and selling syringes among injection drug users in a setting of one of North America's largest syringe exchange programs. Subst Use Misuse. 2006;41:883-99.
- Remis RS, Bruneau J, Hankins CA. Enough sterile syringes to prevent HIV transmission among injection drug users in Montreal? J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1998;18 Suppl 1:57-9.
- De la Fuente L, Brugal MT, Ballesta R, Bravo MJ, Barrio G, Domingo-Salvany A, et al. Metodología del estudio de cohortes del proyecto Itínere sobre consumidores de heroína en tres ciudades españolas y características básicas de los participantes. Rev Esp Salud Pública. 2006;20:475-91.
- Brugal T, Bravo MJ, Vallés N, Díaz de Quijano, Fabregat A, Ambrós M. Formas de obtención de jeringas en los jóvenes inyectores de Madrid y Barcelona y su relación con la conducta de inyectarse con jeringas usadas. Bilbao: VII Congreso Nacional sobre el Sida, Mayo 2003. Pub. Of. SEISIDA. 2003;14:152.
- Latkin CA, Forman VL. Patterns of needle acquisition and sociobehavioral correlates of needle exchange program attendance in Baltimore, Maryland, USA. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2001;27:398-404.
- Strathdee SA, Patrick DM, Currie SL, Cornelisse PG, Rekart ML, Montaner JS, et al. Needle exchange is not enough: lessons from the Vancouver injecting drug use study. AIDS. 1997;11:59F-65F.
- Folch C, Merono M, Casabona J. Factores asociados a la práctica de compartir jeringas usadas entre usuarios de droga por vía parenteral reclutados en la calle. Med Clin (Barc). 2006; 127:526-32.
- Vallejo F, Toro C, Brugal MT, De la Fuente L, Soriano V, Jiménez R, Ballesta R, Bravo MJ. Muy alta incidencia de VIH y VHC en jóvenes consumidores de heroína. Logroño: XXIV Reunión Científica Anual de la Sociedad Española de Epidemiología, octubre 2006. Gac Sanit. 2006;20 Supl SEE: 130.