Open Access Research

BMJ Open HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico: a cross-sectional study

Heather A Pines, ¹ David Goodman-Meza, ¹ Eileen V Pitpitan, ¹ Karla Torres, ² Shirley J Semple, ³ Thomas L Patterson ³

To cite: Pines HA, Goodman-Meza D, Pitpitan EV, *et al.* HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2016;**6**: e010388. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010388

➤ Prepublication history and additional material is available. To view please visit the journal (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010388).

Received 28 October 2015 Revised 29 December 2015 Accepted 15 January 2016



¹Division of Global Public Health, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA ²Agencia Familiar Binacional, AC, Tijuana, Mexico ³Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

Correspondence to Dr Heather A Pines; hpines@ucsd.edu

ABSTRACT

Objectives: HIV testing is critical to the delivery of comprehensive HIV prevention and care services, yet coverage of sexual minorities by HIV testing programmes remains insufficient in many low- and middle-income countries, including Mexico. The objective of this study was to identify the prevalence and correlates of HIV testing among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Tijuana, Mexico.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study (2012–2013) among 189 MSM recruited via respondent-driven sampling (RDS). RDS-weighted logistic regression was used to identify correlates of prior HIV testing.

Results: RDS-adjusted prevalence of prior and recent (≤12 months) HIV testing was 63.5% (95% CI 51.9% to 73.5%) and 36.8% (95% CI 25.4% to 46.4%), respectively. Prior HIV testing was positively associated with older age (adjusted OR (AOR)=1.09, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.15), being born in Tijuana (AOR=2.68, 95% CI 1.05 to 6.86), higher levels of education (AOR=2.65, 95% CI 1.08 to 6.53), identifying as homosexual or gay (AOR=3.73, 95% CI 1.48 to 9.42), being more 'out' about having sex with men (AOR=1.28, 95% CI:1.02 to 1.62), and a history of sexual abuse (AOR=3.24, 95% CI 1.06 to 9.86), Prior HIV testing was negatively associated with reporting more condomless anal intercourse acts (past 2 months) (AOR=0.95, 95% CI 0.92 to 0.98) and greater internalised homophobia (AOR=0.92, 95% CI 0.86 to

Conclusions: Our findings indicate an urgent need for expanded HIV testing services for MSM in Tijuana. Innovative, non-stigmatising, confidential HIV testing interventions targeted at young, less educated, migrant and non-gay identifying MSM may facilitate HIV testing and timely linkage to HIV care and treatment within this population.

INTRODUCTION

Early HIV diagnosis, linkage to care, initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and viral suppression improve the health of HIV-infected individuals¹ and reduce the risk of onward sexual transmission.² As such,

Strengths and limitations of this study

- We examined HIV testing behaviours among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico, a population disproportionately affected by HIV with a high prevalence of undiagnosed HIV infection.
- Respondent-driven sampling was employed to overcome barriers to accessing this marginalised population, but may have limited the generalisability of our findings.
- Participants may have under-reported sensitive information on sexual and substance use behaviours due to social desirability bias.

regular HIV testing is critical to the delivery of comprehensive HIV prevention, treatment and care services.³ Given that men who have sex with men (MSM) are disproportionately affected by HIV/AIDS worldwide, including those in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC),⁴ the WHO recommends that MSM undergo HIV testing annually.³ Yet coverage of sexual minorities by HIV testing programmes remains insufficient in many LMIC.⁵

Research with MSM in LMIC has documented a relationship between HIV testing and several sociodemographic, behavioural, psychosocial and structural factors. 6-15 HIV testing has been associated with older age, 6-10 13 higher levels of education 9-12 and self-identifying as homosexual or gay. 7 13 Individuals who have never tested for HIV tend to perceive themselves to be at low risk of infection,⁹ while testing is more common among those with greater HIV-related knowledge, 6 a history of sexually transmitted infection (STI),^{7–9} a history of sexual violence⁶ and those reporting sexual risk behaviours (ie, more sexual partners¹² and transactional sex⁸). However, an inverse association between condomless anal intercourse and HIV testing has been reported.⁷ ¹⁰ ¹¹

Individuals with stigmatised attitudes towards people living with HIV,¹¹ as well as those who fear a positive test result and the negative social consequences associated with testing positive, are also less likely to seek HIV testing.⁹ ¹⁴ Structural factors, including homophobia and HIV-related stigma, have also been inversely associated with HIV testing.⁹ ¹⁴ Moreover, research has shown that HIV testing is dependent on access to HIV education or service programmes,¹² which are often less prevalent in LMIC due to limited resource allocation to HIV prevention services for sexual minorities as well as the criminalisation and stigmatisation of same-sex sexual behaviours.⁵

In Mexico, HIV prevalence among MSM (16.9%, 95% 15.6% to 18.3%)¹⁶ is 73 times that among reproductive-aged adults (0.23%, 95% CI 0.18% to 0.32%). 17 Although Mexico implemented universal access to ART for HIV-infected individuals in 2003, national HIV prevention strategies have not emphasised targeted HIV testing for key populations, including MSM. 16 Tijuana borders San Diego, California and is located in Mexico's northern state of Baja California, which has the third highest cumulative HIV incidence in the country. 18 Although HIV-related research with MSM in the Mexico-USA border region has been limited, a recent Tijuana-based study estimated an HIV prevalence of 20.2% (95% CI 12.5% to 29.1%) among MSM, and 89% of those infected are unaware of their HIV-positive status. 19 Despite the availability of free HIV testing at government-funded clinics and community-based organisations in Tijuana, only 63.7% (95% CI 53.2% to 74.5%) of MSM have ever been tested. 19 Taken together, these findings underscore the need for targeted HIV testing services to curb the HIV epidemic within this population.

To contribute to the limited HIV-related literature on MSM in the Mexico-US border region and inform the development of HIV testing programmes that facilitate adherence to the WHO testing guidelines within this population, we aimed to (1) describe HIV testing behaviours, (2) characterise motivations and barriers to HIV testing, and (3) identify correlates of prior HIV testing among MSM in Tijuana.

METHODS

Study population and sampling methods

Between August 2012 and May 2013, a Tijuana-based HIV prevalence study¹⁹ recruited 216 MSM via respondent-driven sampling (RDS), a chain-referral sampling technique often employed to reach hidden and marginalised populations.²⁰ ²¹ The study was conducted in collaboration with *Agencia Familiar Binacional* (AFABI), a community-based organisation in Tijuana that addresses the HIV prevention and treatment needs of key populations. As previously described,¹⁹ AFABI outreach workers recruited potential seeds at venues frequented by MSM (eg, discos, bars, bathhouses). Initially,

six individuals with large social networks (>15 individuals) and heterogeneous with respect to age, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, location of residence in Tijuana and sexual orientation were selected to serve as seeds. Halfway through the study, four additional seeds were selected to boost recruitment. Seeds were given three coupons to invite male peers who have sex with men from their social networks to participate in the study. Eligible recruits were then given three coupons to invite their male peers who have sex with men in subsequent recruitment waves. Each coupon was coded with a unique number and had a 3-week expiration date. Given that the study's primary purpose was to determine the prevalence of HIV among MSM in Tijuana, recruitment continued until a sample size of approximately 200 was achieved to detect an HIV prevalence of 20% with at least 80% power, assuming a design effect of 2 and an α-level of 0.05. Nine seeds recruited 191 eligible peer recruits. Eligible seeds and peer recruits were at least 18 years old, biologically male, Tijuana residents, reported oral or anal intercourse with a male in the past year, not under the influence of alcohol or drugs at enrolment and willing to provide informed consent. Fifteen peer recruits did not meet eligibility criteria and were excluded for the following reasons: no oral or anal intercourse with male in the past year (n=8); under the influence of alcohol or drugs at enrolment (n=4); and not a Tijuana resident (n=3).

Study procedures

RDS Coupon Manager (Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA) software was used to track peer recruitment and collect biometric data to prevent repeat enrolment. Trained AFABI staff trusted by the community screened individuals for eligibility, obtained informed consent and administered surveys via computer-assisted personal interviewing. All participants also underwent rapid HIV testing (Advanced Quality HIV Test Kits; Intec Products, Inc, Xiamen, China), as well as pretest and post-test counselling according to Mexican national guidelines. If positive, a second rapid test was performed. Positive results on both rapid tests were confirmed via immunofluorescence assay at the San Diego County Public Health Laboratory. Confirmed HIV-positive participants were referred to psychosocial support services at AFABI and medical care at CAPASITS, the main HIV care and treatment clinic in Tijuana. Participants were compensated up to US\$35: US\$20 for completing study procedures and US\$5 for each recruited peer. Study procedures were approved by Human Subjects Protection Committees at the Universidad Autónoma de Baja California and the University of California, San Diego (#120517).

Measures

Sociodemographics included age, gender identity (male or transgender female), birthplace (Tijuana, outside Tijuana in Mexico, USA, or other), sexual orientation

(homosexual/gay, bisexual, or heterosexual/straight), highest level of education (cannot read or write, some grade school, completed grade school, some secondary school, completed secondary school, some high school, completed high school, some university, completed university or advanced degree), employment status (unemployed, part-time or full-time), monthly income (no income,<\$1000, \$1000–\$1499, \$1500–\$1999, \$2000–\$2499, \$2500–\$2999, \$3000–\$3500, >\$3500), travel to the USA, deportation from the USA and incarceration history.

Sexual behaviours were measured in the past 2 months and included the number of sexual partners, relationship types (spouse, steady, casual or anonymous), frequency of and condom use during anal and/or vaginal intercourse, and venues visited to meet male sexual partners (bar, nightclub, disco, bathhouse or sauna, dark room (unlit rooms attached to bars where men have sex),²² adult movie theatre, internet café and public places (eg, park, restroom, bus)). Participants were also asked whether they had exchanged money for sex in the past 6 months.

Substance use measures elicited information on lifetime and past month use of illicit drugs (marijuana, heroin, inhalants, methamphetamine, ecstasy, cocaine, tranquillisers, barbiturates, amyl nitrites (poppers), γ -hydroxybutyric acid, ketamine and other), as well as the frequency of alcohol and drug use before or during sex in the past 2 months. Hazardous alcohol consumption was measured using the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT) and defined as an AUDIT score ≥ 8 .

Personal social network size was measured by asking the following questions: "How many MSM at least 18 years of age do you know in Tijuana, Mexico?"; "How many of these men have seen you in the past month?"; "How many of these men know you back?" Participants' personal social network size was determined on the basis of their response to the third question, which provides an estimate of the number of MSM ≥18 years of age living in Tijuana with whom participants had been in contact in the past month and believed would have recruited them if provided with a coupon.

History of sexual, physical and emotional abuse was assessed via three separate questions about whether participants had ever been forced or coerced to have sex against their will, physically abused (ie, hit or assaulted) or emotionally abused.

Social support was measured via Likert scale responses (1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=agree, 4=strongly agree) to 7 items on help and support received from friends and family (eg, "The people close to you let you know they care about you"). 24 Item scores were summed such that higher total scores indicate greater social support (α =0.91).

Perceived HIV-related stigma was measured via Likert scale responses (1=strongly disagree, 2=somewhat disagree, 3=somewhat agree, 4=strongly agree) to 7 items

assessing participants' perception of others' reactions to people living with HIV/AIDS (eg, "People treat others differently when they find out that they are HIV-positive"). Item scores were summed such that higher total scores indicate greater perceived HIV-related stigma (α =0.87).

Outness about having sex with men was assessed among participants identifying as gay or bisexual by asking them to describe how 'out' they are about having sex with men on a scale of 1–7 (1=not out to anyone; 4=out to about half the people I know; 7=out to everyone).²⁶

Internalised homophobia refers to the internalisation of societal stigma towards MSM and was measured among participants identifying as gay or bisexual using a 9-item scale. Participants indicated their level of agreement with scale items (eg, "I feel that being gay/bisexual is a personal shortcoming for me") via Likert scale responses (1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neither agree nor disagree, 4=agree, 5=strongly agree). Item scores were summed such that higher total scores indicate greater internalised homophobia (α =0.90).

HIV-related knowledge was measured via 18 true/false questions on HIV transmission behaviours (eg, "A person will not get HIV if she/he is taking antibiotics"). ²⁸ Knowledge scores were calculated by summing the number of correct responses. Participants were also asked if they had accessed an HIV/STI counselling or education programme in the past 2 months.

HIV testing history was assessed via the following: "Have you ever tested for HIV?" and "When was your last HIV test?" Those reporting any prior HIV testing were asked what motivated them to seek or agree to their last test, while those reporting no prior testing were asked about barriers to testing.

HIV/STI diagnosis history was assessed via self-report. If participants reported prior HIV testing, they were asked the result of their last test. Participants were also asked if they had been diagnosed with gonorrhoea, chlamydia or syphilis in the past 2 months.

Statistical analysis

Seeds (n=10) and peer recruits with previous HIV diagnoses (n=2) were excluded from the present analysis. All other peer recruits who provided information on their HIV testing history contributed to the analysis (n=189). RDS-unadjusted and RDS-adjusted descriptive statistics were calculated to characterise the sample and the MSM population in Tijuana, respectively. RDS-adjusted population estimates were obtained using the RDS Analysis Tool (RDSAT V.7.1; Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA), with parameters set to dual component for average network estimation, 25 000 resamples for bootstrapping, 0.025 for the α level and enhanced data smoothing for the estimation algorithm. Individual RDS weights were generated following an RDSAT partition analysis of prior HIV testing (outcome of interest) and were applied to the analysis sample for use in RDS-weighted logistic regression analyses. 21 RDS-weighted logistic regression



Page	Table 1 Characteristics of men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico					
Age (in years) 18–24 28–29 46 28–29 46 28–29 46 28–29 46 28–29 46 28–29 46 28–29 47 48 48 28–29 48 48 28–29 48 48 28–29 48 48 28–29 48 48 28–29 48 48 28–29 48 48 28–29 48 48 28–29 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 4		RDS-una		RDS-a		
Age (in years) 18–24		n	(%)	%	95% CI	
18-24 65 (34.4) 38.2 27.19 to 48.0 25.29 30.0-34 32 (16.9) 14.4 8.1 to 22.0 32.5						
25-29						
39-34 ≥35 Gender identity Male Transgender female (male-to-female) Transgender female (male-to-female			• •			
≥35 46						
Male 174 (92.6) 4.3 89.4 to 99.0 Transgender female (male-to-female) 174 (7.5) 5.7 1.0 to 10.6 Sexual orientation 144 (7.5) 5.7 1.0 to 10.6 Sexual orientation 140 (7.5) 5.7 1.0 to 10.6 Bissxual 64 (34.0) 35.7 25.9 to 46.8 Heterosexual or straight 66 (3.2) 3.2 0.2 to 7.2 Birthplace 170 (7.5) 60 (6.6) 67 (7.6) 67.8 1.0 to 10.0 to 2.3 Birthplace 170 (6.6) 7.5 (6.6) 7.5 (7.6) 6.7 1.0 to 2.3 1.0 to 10.0 to 2.3 1.0 to 10.0 to 2.3 1.0 to 10.0 to 2.3 1.0 to 2.3 Al least a high school education 92 (48.7) 48.0 37.5 to 60.6 Employed 115 (61.2) 58.2 47.6 to 69.2 Monthly income 28500 pesos (US\$-280) 102 (56.4) 52.6 41.8 to 64.5 Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) 53 (29.1) 32.2 20.6 to 42.6 Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) 53 (29.1) 32.2 20.6 to 42.6 Ever deported from the USA 54 (34.0) 35.5 20.5 to 65.5 Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) 54 (7.0 to 2.0						
Male 174 (92.6) 94.3 89.4 to 99.0 Transgender female (male-to-female) 14 (7.5) 5.7 1.0 to 10.6 Sexual orientation Honosexual or gray 118 (62.8) 61.1 49.6 to 71.5 Bisexual 64 (34.0) 3.7 25.9 to 46.8 Heterosexual or straight 6 (32.2) 3.2 20.2 to 7.2 Birbylace 8 (3.1) 3.1 1.2 to 41.9 Outside Tijuana in Mexico 126 (66.7) 67.8 57.2 to 77.9 USA 3 (15) 1.1 0.0 to 2.3 At I least a high school education 92 (48.7) 48.0 37.5 to 50.6 Employed 115 (61.2) 58.2 47.6 to 69.2 Monthly income ≥ 9500 pesos (US\$~280) 102 (56.4) 52.6 Eve traveled to the USA 53 (29.1) 32.2 20.6 to 42.6 Ever traveled to from the USA 13 (8.6) 3.5 0.6 to 9.5 Sexual behaviours 2 17 46.5 2.9 13.3 to 34.2 Any female sexual partners (≤2 m		46	(24.3)	25.1	17.1 to 35.0	
Transgender female (male-to-female)		174	(92.6)	94.3	89.4 to 99.0	
Sexual orientation Homosexual or gay 118 (62.8) 61.1 49.6 to 71.5 Bisexual 64 (34.0) 35.7 25.9 to 46.8 Heterosexual or straight 66 (32.0) 3.2 2.2 to 7.2 Stribplace						
Homosexual or gay 118			(7.0)	0.7	1.0 10 10.0	
Bisexual Heterosexual or straight 66 (3.2) 3.2 2.5 y to 46.8		118	(62.8)	61.1	49.6 to 71.5	
Birthplace Tijuana, Mexico 60 (31.8) 31.1 21.2 to 41.9 Outside Tijuana in Mexico 126 (66.7) 67.8 57.2 to 77.9 USA 3 (1.5) 1.1 0.0 to 2.3 At least a high school education 92 (48.7) 48.0 37.5 to 60.6 Employed 115 (61.2) 58.2 47.6 to 69.2 Monthly income ≥3500 pesos (US\$~280) 102 (56.4) 25.2 47.6 to 69.2 Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) 53 (29.1) 32.2 20.6 to 42.6 Ever travelled to the USA 54 (34.4) 33.5 0.6 to 8.5 Ever travelled to the USA 13 (8.6) 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Sexual behaviours Traded sex for money (≤6 months) 47 (25.1) 23.2 13.3 to 34.2 Any female sexual partners (≤2 months) 45 (30.0) 32.4 23.4 to 52.4 Number of male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) 6.5 (30.0) 32.4 23.4 to 52.4 Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) 7.7 (21.9) −		64		35.7	25.9 to 46.8	
Tijuana, Mexico	Heterosexual or straight	6	(3.2)	3.2	0.2 to 7.2	
USA						
USA			• • •			
At least a high school education Employed Monthly income ≥3500 pesos (US\$~280) 1102 (56.4) 52.6 41.8 to 64.5 Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) 53 (29.1) 32.2 20.6 to 42.6 Ever travelled to the USA 54 (34.4) 33.5 21.5 to 45.6 Ever deported from the USA 58 (30.4) 33.5 12.15 to 45.6 Ever deported from the USA 58 (30.6) 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Sexual behaviours Traded sex for money (≤6 months) Any female sexual partners (≤2 months) Any female sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) Spouse or live-in partner Spouse or live-in partner 1118 (65.9) 65.6 56.1 to 76.8 Casual partner Spouse or live-in partner 118 (65.9) 65.6 56.1 to 76.8 Casual partner 118 (65.9)	·					
Employed Monthly income ≥3500 pesos (US\$~280) 102 (56.4) 52.6 41.8 to 64.5 Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) 53 (29.1) 32.2 20.6 to 42.6 Ever travelled to the USA 54 (34.4) 33.5 21.5 to 45.6 Ever deported from the USA 54 (34.4) 33.5 21.5 to 45.6 Ever deported from the USA 54 (34.4) 33.5 21.5 to 45.6 Ever deported from the USA 54 (34.4) 33.5 21.5 to 45.6 Ever deported from the USA 38.6 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Sexual behaviours Sexual behaviours 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 3						
Monthly income ≥3500 peass (US\$~280) 102 (56.4) 52.6 41.8 to 64.5 Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) 53 (29.1) 32.2 20.6 to 42.6 Ever travelled to the USA 54 (34.4) 33.5 21.5 to 45.6 Ever deported from the USA 31 (8.6) 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Ever deported from the USA 31 (8.6) 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Ever deported from the USA 31 (8.6) 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Ever deported from the USA 31 (8.6) 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Ever deported from the USA 31 (8.6) 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Ever deported from the USA 31 (8.6) 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 Ever deported from the USA 32 31.3 to 34.2 Any female sexual partners (≤2 months) 45 (30.0) 32.4 23.4 to 52.4 Any female sexual partners (≤2 months) 6.5 (11.3) −			• •			
Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) Ever travelled to the USA Ever deported from the USA Sexual behaviours Traded sex for money (≤6 months) Traded sex for money (≤6 months) Any female sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Number of male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) Spouse or live-in partner Steady non-live-in partner			• •			
Ever travelled to the USA			• •			
Ever deported from the USA Sexual behaviours Traded sex for money (≤6 months) Any female sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Number of male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Spouse or live-in partner Spouse or live-in partner Steady non-live-in partner Steady non-live-i			• • •			
Sexual behaviours Sexual ded sex for monthy (≤6 months) 47 (25.1) 23.2 13.3 to 34.2 Any female sexual partners (≤2 months) 45 (30.0) 32.4 23.4 to 52.4 Number of male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) 6.5 (11.3) — Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) 7.7 (21.9) — Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) 8.6 (21.9) — Spouse or live-in partner 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 Steady non-live-in partner 118 (65.9) 65.6 56.1 to 76.8 Casual partner 98 (54.4) 47.0 37.1 to 58.5 Anonymous partner 62 (37.6) 32.5 20.1 to 42.1 Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 months) 8 45.4 47.0 37.1 to 58.5 Bar, inghtclub or disco 51 (28.2) 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 Bathhouse or sauna 20 (10.7) 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6)						
Traded sex for money (≤6 months) 47 (25.1) 23.2 13.3 to 34.2 Any female sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) 6.5 (11.3) − − Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) 7.7 (21.9) − − Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) 8 (54.9) − − − Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 5 teady non-live-in partner 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 5 teady non-live-in partner 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 5 teady non-live-in partner 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 5 teady non-live-in partner 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 5 teady non-live-in partner 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 5 teady non-live-in partner 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 5 teady non-live-in partner 48 (54.4) 47.0 37.1 to 58.5 66.1 to 76.8 22.1 to 76.8 28.2 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 58 38.5 20.1 to 42.1 42.4 to 63.4 42.8 to 63.4 42.8 to 63.4 42.8 to		.0	(0.0)	0.0	0.0 10 0.0	
Any female sexual partners (≤2 months) Number of male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) Spouse or live-in partner Spouse or live-in partner Steady non-live-in partner S		47	(25.1)	23.2	13.3 to 34.2	
Number of male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months) Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) Spouse or live-in partner Spouse or live-in partner Steady non-live-in Steady non-						
Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) Spouse or live-in partner 118 (65.9) 65.6 56.1 to 76.8 Casual partner 98 (54.4) 47.0 37.1 to 58.5 Anonymous partner 62 (37.6) 32.5 20.1 to 42.1 Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 months) 51 (28.2) 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 Bath nouse or sauna 20 (10.7) 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6) 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) 8 (29.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) <t< td=""><td></td><td>6.5</td><td>• •</td><td></td><td>_</td></t<>		6.5	• •		_	
Spouse or live-in partner 46 (25.8) 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 Steady non-live-in partner 118 (65.9) 65.6 56.1 to 76.8 Casual partner 98 (54.4) 47.0 37.1 to 58.5 Anonymous partner 62 (37.6) 32.5 20.1 to 42.1 Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 months) 51 (28.2) 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 Bar, nightclub or disco 51 (28.2) 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 Bathhouse or sauna 20 (10.7) 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6) 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) 4.2 4.0 6.8 4.2.4 to 63.8 Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 52 (27.8) 32.2 <td></td> <td>7.7</td> <td>(21.9)</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td>		7.7	(21.9)	-	_	
Steady non-live-in partner 118 (65.9) 65.6 56.1 to 76.8 Casual partner 98 (54.4) 47.0 37.1 to 58.5 Anonymous partner 62 (37.6) 32.5 20.1 to 42.1 Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 months) 80 (37.6) 32.5 20.1 to 42.1 Bar, nightclub or disco 51 (28.2) 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 81.0 to 31.5						
Casual partner 98 (54.4) 47.0 37.1 to 58.5 Anonymous partner 62 (37.6) 32.5 20.1 to 42.1 Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 months) 51 (28.2) 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 Bathhouse or sauna 20 (10.7) 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6) 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) 8 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 3.6 1.7 to 10.2 4.8			• •			
Anonymous partner Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 months) Bar, nightclub or disco Bathhouse or sauna 20 (10.7) 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6) 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 47 (70.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) Marijuana 57 (30.5) 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 41 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin Anyl nitrite (poppers) 41 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin Anyl nitrite (poppers) 41 (5.9) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) Any injection drug use (≤1 month) Any injection drug use (≤1 month) Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months)			• •			
Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 months) Bar, nightclub or disco 51 (28.2) 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 Bathhouse or sauna 20 (10.7) 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6) 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) 8 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use 80 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 5.5 5.5 5.6 42.4 to 63.8 Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 42.8 42.8 42.8 42.9 to 39.7 2.0 18.9 to 39.7 2.0 20.9 to 42.8 42.8 42.9 to 39.7 2.0 22.2 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 42.8 42.9 to 39.7 2.0 22.2 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 42.8 42.8 to 39.7 to 26.7 42.8 to 37.5 to 26.7 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>• •</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			• •			
Bar, nightclub or disco 51 (28.2) 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 Bathhouse or sauna 20 (10.7) 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6) 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) 8 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) 57 (30.5) 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16		62	(37.6)	32.5	20.1 to 42.1	
Bathhouse or sauna 20 (10.7) 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6) 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) 36 (19.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 29.5 to 18.9 to 39.7 Heroin 53 (28.2) 29.5 to 18.9 to 39.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 32.2 to 9.5 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 5 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) 57 (30.5) 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16 (8.6)		- 4	(00.0)	00.0	10.04-01.5	
Adult movie theatre 16 (8.6) 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) The control of t	, •		• •			
Dark room 18 (9.7) 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) 57 (30.5) 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16 (8.6) 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 11 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin 10 (5.3) 4.8 0.7 to 10.5 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8			• • •			
Internet café 16 (8.6) 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) 8 30.5 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16 (8.6) 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 11 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin 10 (5.3) 4.8 0.7 to 10.8 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) 76 (40.6) 33.7 <						
Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) 36 (19.5) 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) Marijuana 57 (30.5) 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16 (8.6) 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 11 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin 10 (5.3) 4.8 0.7 to 10.5 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) 76 (40.6) 33.7 24.2 to 44.3 Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Substance use Illicit drug use (lifetime) Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) Marijuana 57 (30.5) 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16 (8.6) 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 11 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin 10 (5.3) 4.8 0.7 to 10.5 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) 76 (40.6) 33.7 24.2 to 44.3 Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) 75 (40.3) 34.8 25.2 to 44.7						
Marijuana 95 (50.5) 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) 8.9 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16 (8.6) 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 11 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin 10 (5.3) 4.8 0.7 to 10.5 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) 76 (40.6) 33.7 24.2 to 44.3 Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) 75 (40.3) 34.8 25.2 to 44.7			` ,			
Methamphetamine 53 (28.2) 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Marijuana 57 (30.5) 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16 (8.6) 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 11 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin 10 (5.3) 4.8 0.7 to 10.5 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) 76 (40.6) 33.7 24.2 to 44.3 Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) 23 (12.8) 10.7 5.6 to 18.8 Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months) 75 (40.3) 34.8 25.2 to 44.7	Illicit drug use (lifetime)					
Cocaine 52 (27.8) 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 32 (17.0) 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 Heroin 15 (8.0) 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 Illicit drug use (≤1 month) 57 (30.5) 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 Methamphetamine 41 (21.8) 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 Cocaine 16 (8.6) 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 Amyl nitrite (poppers) 11 (5.9) 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 Heroin 10 (5.3) 4.8 0.7 to 10.5 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) 76 (40.6) 33.7 24.2 to 44.3 Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) 23 (12.8) 10.7 5.6 to 18.8 Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months) 75 (40.3) 34.8 25.2 to 44.7	Marijuana	95			42.4 to 63.8	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			• • •			
Heroin15(8.0)8.93.2 to 16.5Illicit drug use (≤1 month) (30.5) 32.421.6 to 43.4Marijuana57(30.5)32.421.6 to 43.4Methamphetamine41(21.8)22.112.9 to 31.7Cocaine16(8.6)9.13.2 to 17.1Amyl nitrite (poppers)11(5.9)6.61.7 to 10.2Heroin10(5.3)4.80.7 to 10.5Any injection drug use (≤1 month)9(5.0)5.00.7 to 10.8Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months)76(40.6)33.724.2 to 44.3Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months)23(12.8)10.75.6 to 18.8Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months)75(40.3)34.825.2 to 44.7						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
Marijuana57(30.5)32.421.6 to 43.4Methamphetamine41(21.8)22.112.9 to 31.7Cocaine16(8.6)9.13.2 to 17.1Amyl nitrite (poppers)11(5.9)6.61.7 to 10.2Heroin10(5.3)4.80.7 to 10.5Any injection drug use (≤1 month)9(5.0)5.00.7 to 10.8Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months)76(40.6)33.724.2 to 44.3Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months)23(12.8)10.75.6 to 18.8Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months)75(40.3)34.825.2 to 44.7		15	(8.0)	8.9	3.2 to 16.5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		57	(20.5)	22.4	01 6 to 42 4	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			• • •			
Heroin 10 (5.3) 4.8 0.7 to 10.5 Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) 76 (40.6) 33.7 24.2 to 44.3 Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) 23 (12.8) 10.7 5.6 to 18.8 Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months) 75 (40.3) 34.8 25.2 to 44.7						
Any injection drug use (≤1 month) 9 (5.0) 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) 76 (40.6) 33.7 24.2 to 44.3 Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) 23 (12.8) 10.7 5.6 to 18.8 Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months) 75 (40.3) 34.8 25.2 to 44.7						
Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months)76(40.6)33.724.2 to 44.3Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months)23(12.8)10.75.6 to 18.8Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months)75(40.3)34.825.2 to 44.7						
Any drug use before/during sex (\leq 2 months) 23 (12.8) 10.7 5.6 to 18.8 Any alcohol use before/during sex (\leq 2 months) 75 (40.3) 34.8 25.2 to 44.7						
Continued	Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months)	75	(40.3)	34.8	25.2 to 44.7	
					Continued	



Table 1 Continued					
	RDS-unadjusted*		RDS-adjusted†		
	n	(%)	%	95% CI	
History of abuse					
Ever experienced sexual abuse	52	(27.7)	24.4	15.2 to 34.9	
Ever experienced physical abuse	35	(18.8)	16.3	9.9 to 23.6	
Ever experienced emotional abuse	62	(33.7)	30.4	21.5 to 41.6	
Psychosocial factors					
Social support, mean (SD)	22.2	(3.5)	_	_	
Perceived HIV-related stigma, mean (SD)	22.7	(3.9)	_	_	
Outness about having sex with men, mean (SD)‡	5.2	(1.9)	_	_	
Internalised homophobia, mean (SD)‡	19.0	(6.3)	_	_	
HIV/STI knowledge					
HIV/STI counselling or education programme (≤2 months)	28	(15.9)	12.3	6.3 to 19.9	
HIV knowledge, mean (SD)	13.5	(2.6)	_	_	
HIV/STI prevalence					
Tested HIV-positive	31	(16.4)	20.2	12.2 to 28.8	
Self-reported STI diagnosis (≤2 months)	29	(15.4)	17.0	9.7 to 25.5	
HIV testing history					
Any prior HIV testing (lifetime)	133	(70.4)	63.5	51.9 to 73.5	
Recent HIV testing (≤12 months)	79	(42.7)	36.8	25.4 to 46.4	

Numbers may not sum to total due to missing data; percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding or omission of one category for binary variables.

was used to examine prior HIV testing in univariate and multivariate analyses as a function of sociodemographics, sexual behaviours, substance use, abuse history, psychosocial factors, HIV knowledge and STI history. Covariates were selected for inclusion in multivariate models on the basis of previous research indicating their association with HIV testing among MSM (ie, age, sexual orientation, education, exchange of money for sex (past 6 months), number of condomless anal intercourse acts (past 2 months), history of sexual abuse, outness about having sex with men, internalised homophobia, HIV knowledge, self-reported STI diagnosis (past 2 months))⁶⁻¹⁵ and univariate results (ie, p value ≤0.05; birthplace, venues visited to meet male sexual partners, substance use). Missing data on travel to the USA (n=34), deportation from the USA (n=39) and the number of female sexual partners (past 2 months) (n=45) precluded their inclusion in regression analyses. All regression analyses were conducted using SAS V.9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS

Of the 603 coupons distributed to seeds and peer recruits, 206 (34.2%) were returned and led to the enrolment of 191 peer recruits (see online supplementary figure S1). The median number of waves was five, with 55% of participants recruited at wave five or higher. The largest recruitment chain had 11 waves and recruited 59% of participants (see online supplementary table S1).

Participants were recruited by friends (78%), sex partners (15%), acquaintances (5%) and relatives (2%).

Table 1 presents RDS-unadjusted and RDS-adjusted descriptive statistics. Participants mostly identified as male (93%), 59% were aged under 30 years, 32% were born in Tijuana and 49% had at least a high school education. Most participants identified as homosexual or gay (63%), while 34% identified as bisexual and 3% identified as heterosexual or straight.

Overall, 70% (n=133) of participants reported any prior HIV testing and 43% (n=79) of participants reported recent (≤12 months) HIV testing. Among those reporting any prior HIV testing, 61% reported testing in the past 12 months (median time since last test=8.9 months; IQR=4.1−24.9). The RDS-adjusted population estimates of any prior HIV testing and recent HIV testing were 63.5% (95% CI 51.9% to 73.5%) and 36.8% (95% CI 25.4% to 46.4%), respectively. Differences in RDS-unadjusted and RDS-adjusted estimates may be explained by preferential recruitment of peers with similar characteristics and differential recruitment by personal social network size (see online supplementary table S2).

Motivations for and barriers to HIV testing are reported in table 2. Among those reporting any prior HIV testing, 78% sought their last test because they wanted to know their HIV status, while only 20% sought their last test based on a doctor or other healthcare provider's recommendation. Among those reporting no prior HIV testing, "I worry that testing HIV-positive will change my life" was

^{*}RDS-unadjusted sample estimates.

[†]RDS-adjusted population estimates obtained using RDS Analysis Tool v7.1 (Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA).

[‡]Only asked of participants who identify as gay or bisexual.

CAI, condomless anal intercourse; RDS, respondent-driven sampling; STI, sexually transmitted infection.



Table 2 Motivations for and barriers to HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico (N=189)

	n	(%)*
Motivation for seeking or agreeing to last HIV to	est†	
Wanted to know my HIV status	97	(78.2
Regular testing	53	(46.9
Risky behaviour	44	(37.9
Someone I know tested HIV+	32	(29.4
Doctor/healthcare professional's suggestion	22	(20.2
Someone I know died of AIDS	21	(19.3
Partner or family member's suggestion	18	(16.2
Health concerns	15	(13.5
Risky behaviour with HIV+ person	14	(12.8
Barriers to HIV testing‡		·
I worry that testing HIV+ will change my life	29	(53.7
I am at low risk for HIV/AIDS	25	(47.2
HIV test results are not confidential	24	(44.4
I am too healthy to be HIV+	23	(43.4
There is no testing on weekends or after	16	(32.7
hours		•
Getting tested for HIV costs too much	17	(32.1
I do not trust the clinics that do HIV testing	17	(32.
I will be discriminated against by medical	16	(29.6
professionals if I test HIV+		•
I will think too much about dying if I test	14	(27.5
HIV+		•
It is difficult for me to get transportation to a	12	(23.
testing clinic		•
It takes a long time to get your test results	9	(18.4
*Respondent-driven sampling (RDS) unadjusted sam	ple	
estimates.		

most frequently reported as a barrier to testing (54%) followed by "I am at low risk for HIV/AIDS" (47%) and "HIV test results are not confidential" (44%).

In an RDS-weighted multivariate logistic regression analysis (table 3), any prior HIV testing was positively associated with older age (adjusted OR (AOR)=1.09, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.15), having been born in Tijuana (AOR=2.68, 95% CI 1.05 to 6.86), having at least a high school education (AOR=2.65, 95% CI 1.08 to 6.53), identifying as homosexual or gay (AOR=3.73, 95% CI 1.48 to 9.42), being more 'out' about having sex with men (AOR=1.28, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.62) and a history of sexual abuse (AOR=3.24, 95% CI 1.06 to 9.86). Prior HIV testing was negatively associated with reporting more condomless anal intercourse acts in the past 2 months (AOR=0.95, 95% CI 0.92 to 0.98) and greater internalised homophobia (AOR=0.92, 95% CI 0.86 to 0.99). A sensitivity analysis excluding participants identifying as transgender female yielded qualitatively similar results.

DISCUSSION

We determined the prevalence and identified correlates of prior HIV testing among MSM in Tijuana, Mexico. We estimated that 63.5% of this population has ever tested for HIV, which is lower than that reported for MSM in Peru (74.3%), but higher than that reported for MSM in Brazil (51.6%). However, our findings corroborate earlier evidence that many MSM in Tijuana do not undergo annual HIV testing as recommended by the WHO. Given that regular HIV testing is integral to the implementation of comprehensive HIV prevention, treatment and care services, efforts to scale up testing among sexual minorities in Tijuana are urgently needed.

Our findings suggest that several sociodemographic, behavioural and psychosocial factors are associated with HIV testing and shed light on potential strategies to promote testing within this population. Consistent with previous research, we found that younger age 6-10 13 and lower levels of education 6 9-12 were associated with never having tested for HIV. These findings emphasise the need for age-specific HIV education and testing campaigns to support regular testing and adherence to the WHO guidelines.

The odds of prior HIV testing were also lower for participants born outside Tijuana. The Mexico-USA border region is characterised by migration from Central America and within Mexico in search of economic opportunities in the region, as well as cross-border mobility with the Tijuana-San Diego border recognised as the busiest international border crossing in the world.²⁹ Migrants in the region are particularly vulnerable to HIV/STIs, which have been linked to their experiences of social isolation, economic insecurity and discrimination. 30 Research with people who inject drugs in Tijuana suggests that these social and structural factors may limit access to HIV testing and other healthcare services among migrants deported from the USA.³¹ Given that 68% of our sample was born outside Tijuana, research examining HIV/STI vulnerability and access to healthcare among migrant MSM in Tijuana is needed to design HIV testing and prevention services for this population.

Encouragingly, participants with a history of sexual abuse were more likely to have ever tested for HIV. However, participants reporting other risk factors for HIV were less likely to report prior HIV testing. For example, reporting more condomless anal intercourse acts in the past 2 months was inversely associated with prior HIV testing. This finding is consistent with previous research conducted with sexual minorities in other LMIC,7 10 11 as well as earlier research with MSM in Tijuana documenting a relationship between condomless anal intercourse in the past year and not having had a recent HIV test.²² MSM in Tijuana who engage in HIV risk behaviours may incorrectly perceive themselves to be at low risk and/or have limited access to HIV testing and prevention services.²² Tailored HIV education interventions that include risk reduction messages and encourage regular HIV testing may improve testing rates among MSM at greatest risk of HIV infection in Tijuana.

Internalised homophobia was associated with never having tested for HIV, while prior HIV testing was



	No prior Prior HIV Mul				Multi	Multivariate		
	HIV testing					ariate	RDS-	-weighted†
	(N=56)		(N=133)		RDS-weighted*		(N=176)	
	n	(%)‡	n	(%)‡	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Sociodemographics								
Age in years, mean (SD)	27.7	(9.2)	30.2	(8.3)		1.01 to 1.08	1.09	1.04 to 1.15
Male-identifying	53	(94.6)	121	(91.7)		0.06 to 1.32		
Gay-identifying	26	(46.4)	92	(69.7)	3.11	1.68 to 5.73 1.10 to 4.62		1.48 to 9.42
Born in Tijuana At least a high school education	14 19	(25.0) (33.9)	46 73	(34.6) (54.9)	2.26	1.10 to 4.62 1.29 to 4.45	2.68 2.65	1.05 to 6.86 1.08 to 6.53
Employed	27	(48.2)	88	(66.7)	1.57		2.00	1.00 10 0.00
Monthly income ≥3500 pesos (US\$~280)	28	(51.9)	74	(58.3)		0.57 to 1.93		
Ever incarcerated (jail or prison)	16	(29.6)	37	(28.9)	1.15	0.60 to 2.19		
Sexual behaviours								
Exchanged money for sex (≤6 months)	19	(33.9)	28	(21.4)		0.21 to 0.82	1.28	0.49 to 3.37
Number of male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD)	7.0	(14.5)	6.3	(9.8)	1.00	0.97 to 1.04		
Number of CAI acts (≤2 months), mean (SD)	6.6	(18.8)	8.2	(23.2)	0.98	0.97 to 1.00	0.95	0.92 to 0.98
Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) Spouse or live-in partner	10	(24.0)	24	(26.6)	1.00	0.51 to 0.00		
Steady non-live-in partner	12 37	(24.0) (69.8)	34 81	(26.6) (64.3)	0.88	0.51 to 2.02 0.47 to 1.66		
Casual partner	29	(53.7)	69	(54.8)	0.95			
Anonymous partner	18	(37.5)	44	(37.6)	0.69	0.36 to 1.34		
Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 n	nonths)		, ,				
Bar, nightclub or disco	15	(28.9)	36	(27.9)	0.59	0.29 to 1.20		
Bathhouse or sauna	7	(12.5)	13	(9.9)	0.33		0.33	0.08 to 1.43
Adult movie theatre Dark room	5 7	(9.1) (12.7)	11	(8.4)	0.62	0.14 to 2.71	0.21	0.06 to 1.74
Internet café	7	(12.7)	11 9	(8.4)	0.19		0.51	0.06 10 1.74
Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus)	13	(24.1)	23	(17.6)		0.23 to 1.35		
Substance use		,		(- /				
Illicit drug use (lifetime)								
Marijuana	29	(52.7)	66	(49.6)		0.31 to 1.03		
Methamphetamine	21	(38.2)	32	(24.1)	1.18			
Cocaine Amyl nitrite (poppers)	14 5	(25.5) (9.1)	38 27	(28.8) (20.3)	1.30 2.76	0.69 to 2.48 1.01 to 7.53	2 22	0.49 to 10.96
Heroin	5	(9.1)	10	(7.5)	2.07	0.62 to 6.90	2.02	0.49 10 10.90
Illicit drug use (≤1 month)	Ū	(0.1)		(7.0)	,	0.02 10 0.00		
Marijuana	19	(34.6)	38	(28.8)	0.74	0.40 to 1.39		
Methamphetamine	18	(32.7)	23	(17.3)	0.77	0.38 to 1.55		
Cocaine	5	(9.1)	11	(8.3)	0.44			
Amyl nitrite (poppers)	2	(3.6)	9	(6.8)		0.64 to 138.01		
Heroin Any injection drug use (≤1 month)	4 4	(7.3) (7.4)	6 5	(4.5) (4.0)		0.52 to 12.43 0.50 to 12.43		
Hazardous alcohol consumption (<12 months)	22	(40.0)	54	(40.9)		0.26 to 0.91	0.78	0.32 to 1.89
Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months)	8	(15.1)	15	(11.8)		0.40 to 2.76	30	3.02 10 1.00
Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months)	20	(36.4)	55	(42.0)		0.36 to 1.24		
History of abuse								
Ever experienced sexual abuse	11	(19.6)	41			2.00 to 12.05	3.24	1.06 to 9.86
Ever experienced physical abuse	8	(14.6)	27	. ,		0.88 to 5.54		
Ever experienced emotional abuse Psychosocial factors	16	(29.6)	46	(35.4)	1.67	0.85 to 3.28		
Social support, mean (SD)	21.8	(3.2)	22.4	(3.6)	1.03	0.95 to 1.13		
Perceived HIV-related stigma, mean (SD)	23.4	(3.9)	22.5	(3.9)		0.94 to 1.09		
Outness about having sex with men, mean (SD)§	4.5	(2.0)	5.5	(1.7)		1.08 to 1.50	1.28	1.02 to 1.62
Internalised homophobia, mean (SD)§	21.2	(6.5)	18.1	(6.1)		0.91 to 1.00	0.92	0.86 to 0.99
HIV/STI knowledge								
HIV/STI counselling or education programme	4	(8.2)	24	(18.9)	1.95	0.69 to 5.57		
(≤2 months) HIV knowledge, mean (SD)	10.0	(2.0)	1/1	(2.2)	1.20	1 1/1 to 1 /6	1 10	0.03 to 1.31
The knowledge, illean (SD)	12.3	(2.9)	14.1	(2.3)	1.29	1.14 to 1.46	1.10	0.93 to 1.31



	HIV	lo prior Prior HIV IIV testing testing N=56) (N=133)		sting Univariate		Multivariate RDS-weighted† (N=176)		
	n	(%)‡	n	(%)‡	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
HIV/STI prevalence								
Tested HIV-positive	11	(19.6)	20	(15.0)	1.43	0.67 to 3.07		
Self-reported an STI diagnosis (≤2 months)	7	(12.7)	22	(16.5)	1.11	0.50 to 2.45	0.67	0.20 to 2.23

†Multivariate RDS-weighted ORs=study design and confounder adjusted estimates

‡RDS-unadjusted sample estimates.

§Only asked of participants who identify as gay or bisexual; analysis excludes participants who identify as heterosexual.

AOR, adjusted OR; CAI, condomless anal intercourse; RDS, respondent-driven sampling; STI, sexually transmitted infection.

associated with identifying as homosexual or gay and being more 'out' about having sex with men. These findings are consistent with research documenting internalised homophobia as a barrier to accessing HIV testing and prevention services. 15 Cultural norms of machismo and homophobia contribute to stigma towards same-sex sexual behaviours in Mexico. Previous research suggests that non-gay identifying, HIV-positive men who have sex with both men and women in Mexico avoid gay community affiliation out of fear of homophobic reactions.³² As such, innovative strategies are needed to engage non-gay identifying MSM in HIV testing programmes without exacerbating experiences of stigma and discrimination. Structural interventions addressing societal stigma towards MSM may also minimise internalised homophobia and support HIV testing uptake among sexual minorities in Tijuana.

Motivations for and barriers to HIV testing point to additional strategies that may promote testing within this population. The most frequently reported barrier to HIV testing was "I worry that testing HIV-positive will change my life," suggesting that MSM in Tijuana may perceive testing HIV-positive as a death sentence or worry about the potential for negative social consequences on testing positive. 9 14 Community-wide education campaigns that correctly frame HIV/AIDS as a chronic disease in the context of ART, focus on the benefits of regular HIV testing, and raise awareness about universal ART access in Mexico may HIV-related stigma and improve HIV testing rates within this population. Moreover, our ability to recruit MSM in Tijuana via RDS suggests that HIV educational campaigns and prevention interventions that rely on the diffusion of information through social networks might increase HIV-related knowledge and support regular HIV testing uptake among MSM in Tijuana.³³

Most participants who reported prior HIV testing sought their last test because they wanted to know their HIV status. However, only 20% of those reporting prior HIV testing indicated that their last test was motivated by a healthcare provider's suggestion. Although data on the location of participants' last HIV test were not collected, this finding points to potential missed opportunities for testing.

However, given the stigmatisation of same-sex sexual behaviours in Mexico, ³² MSM may be reluctant to disclose their sexual orientation or same-sex sexual practices to health-care providers. Provider training on culturally competent care for sexual minorities ³⁴ may promote open discussions about sexual practices, facilitate risk reduction counselling, support the delivery and uptake of antiretrovirals for use as pre-exposure (once approved in Mexico) and postexposure prophylaxis, increase HIV testing and ensure timely linkage to HIV care for this population.

Our study has several limitations. First, given the study's cross-sectional design, we cannot infer that observed associations are causal. Second, although RDS was implemented to overcome barriers to accessing this hidden population, using this potentially biased recruitment method may have limited the generalisability of our findings. Third, RDS weighting procedures can yield imprecise estimates, 21 35 and may explain the wide 95% CIs obtained for some ORs in our RDS-weighted logistic regression analyses. Fourth, participants may have underreported sensitive information on sexual and substance use behaviours. However, to minimise the potential for this social desirability bias, surveys were administered by AFABI staff who are trusted members of the community. Fifth, barriers to HIV testing were not measured via open-ended questions. As such, future research should include in-depth interviews with MSM in Tijuana, particularly subgroups with a low prevalence of prior HIV testing (eg, young, less educated, migrant MSM), to better understand their barriers to testing and inform targeted interventions for MSM least likely to access HIV testing. Finally, although our findings were qualitatively similar in analyses excluding biological males who have sex with men and identify as transgender female, additional research examining the unique barriers to HIV testing experienced by this population is needed as they may differ from those experienced by biological males who have sex with men and identify as male.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings highlight the need for HIV testing programmes among MSM in Tijuana, Mexico and provide

useful information for the development of HIV testing services that address the specific needs of this population. Innovative, non-stigmatising, confidential HIV education and testing interventions targeted at young, less educated, migrant and non-gay identifying MSM may facilitate regular HIV testing and timely linkage to HIV care and treatment among MSM in this setting.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the participants and staff without whom this study would not have been possible.

Contributors DG-M was responsible for data collection. KT helped oversee study procedures and data collection. HAP and TLP contributed to the design of the present study. HAP conducted the analysis and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of results, manuscript revisions and approved the final manuscript.

Funding The parent study was supported by an AIDS International Training in Research Program (AITRP) seed grant to Goodman. This study was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse grants (T32 DA023356; K01 DA040543; K01 DA036447).

Competing interests None declared.

Ethics approval Study procedures were approved by Human Subjects Protection Committees at the Universidad Autónoma de Baja California and the University of California, San Diego (#120517).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

REFERENCES

- Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. N Engl J Med 2015;373:795–807.
- Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med 2011;365:493–505.
- World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on HIV testing services. 2015. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/179870/1/ 978924150926. ppg pdf?ua=18-ua=1 (accessed 23 Jul 2015)
- 9789241508926_eng.pdf?ua=1&ua=1 (accessed 23 Jul 2015).
 4. Baral S, Sifakis F, Cleghorn F, et al. Elevated risk for HIV infection among men who have sex with men in low- and middle-income countries 2000–2006: a systematic review. PLoS Med 2007;4:e339.
- Beyrer C. Global prevention of HIV infection for neglected populations: men who have sex with men. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50 (Suppl 3):S108–13.
- Brito AM, Kendall C, Kerr L, et al. Factors associated with low levels of HIV testing among Men Who Have Sex with Men (MSM) in Brazil. PLoS ONE 2015;10:e0130445.
- Guadamuz TE, Cheung DH, Wei C, et al. Young, Online and in the Dark: Scaling Up HIV Testing among MSM in ASEAN. PLoS ONE 2015;10:e0126658.
- Lee SW, Deiss RG, Segura ER, et al. A cross-sectional study of low HIV testing frequency and high-risk behaviour among men who have sex with men and transgender women in Lima, Peru. BMC Public Health 2015;15:408.
- Reisen CA, Zea MC, Bianchi FT, et al. HIV testing among MSM in Bogotá, Colombia: the role of structural and individual characteristics. AIDS Educ Prev 2014;26:328–44.
- Vu L, Andrinopoulos K, Tun W, et al. High levels of unprotected anal intercourse and never testing for HIV among men who have sex with men in Nigeria: evidence from a cross-sectional survey for the need for innovative approaches to HIV prevention. Sex Transm Infect 2013;89:659–65.
- Li X, Lu H, Raymond HF, et al. Untested and undiagnosed: barriers to HIV testing among men who have sex with men, Beijing, China. Sex Transm Infect 2012;88:187–93.

- Park JN, Papworth E, Billong SC, et al. Correlates of prior HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Cameroon: a cross-sectional analysis. BMC Public Health 2014;14:1220.
- Sandfort TG, Nel J, Rich E, et al. HIV testing and self-reported HIV status in South African men who have sex with men: results from a community-based survey. Sex Transm Infect 2008;84: 425–9.
- Beattie TS, Bhattacharjee P, Suresh M, et al. Personal, interpersonal and structural challenges to accessing HIV testing, treatment and care services among female sex workers, men who have sex with men and transgenders in Karnataka state, South India. J Epidemiol Community Health 2012;66(Suppl 2):ii42–8.
- Santos GM, Beck J, Wilson PA, et al. Homophobia as a barrier to HIV prevention service access for young men who have sex with men. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2013;63:e167–70.
- Bautista-Arredondo S, Colchero MA, Romero M, et al. Is the HIV
 epidemic stable among MSM in Mexico? HIV prevalence and risk
 behavior results from a nationally representative survey among men
 who have sex with men. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e72616.
- Secretaria de Salud, Centro Nacional para la Prevención y el Control del VIH y el SIDA (CENSIDA). Informe nacional de avances en la respuesta al vih y el sida: Mexico 2015. 2015. http://www.unaids.org/ sites/default/files/country/documents/MEX_narrative_report_2015.pdf (accessed 23 Dec 23 2015).
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografia. Estadísticas a propósito del día mundial de la lucha contra el SIDA. 2011. http:// www.inegi.org.mx/inegi/contenidos/espanol/prensa/contenidos/ estadisticas/2011/sida11.asp?s=inegi&c=2819&ep=78 (accessed 23 Jul 2014).
- Pitpitan EV, Goodman-Meza D, Burgos JL, et al. Prevalence and correlates of HIV among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico. J Int AIDS Soc 2015;18:19304.
- Heckathorn DD. Respondent-driven sampling: a new approach to the study of hidden populations. Soc Probl 1997;44:174–99.
- Heckathorn DD. Extensions of respondent-driven sampling: analyzing continuous variables and controlling for differential recruitment. Soc Methodol 2007;37:151–207.
- Barrón-Limón S, Semple SJ, Strathdee SA, et al. Correlates of unprotected anal sex among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico. BMC Public Health 2012;12:433.
- Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, et al. Development of the alcohol use disorders identification test (audit): who collaborative project on early detection of persons with harmful alcohol consumption–II. Addiction 1993;88:791–804.
- Pearlin LI, Mullan JT, Semple SJ, et al. Caregiving and the stress process: an overview of concepts and their measures. Gerontologist 1990;30:583–94.
- Semple SJ, Grant I, Patterson TL. Utilization of drug treatment programs by methamphetamine users: the role of social stigma. Am J Addict 2005;14:367–80.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). HIV/STD risks in young men who have sex with men who do not disclose their sexual orientation—six U.S. cities, 1994–2000. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2003;52:81–6.
- Herek GM, Cogan JC, Gillis JR, et al. Correlates of internalized homophobia in a community sample of lesbians and gay men. J Gay Lesbian Med Assoc 1997:2:17–25.
- Carey MP, Schroder KE. Development and psychometric evaluation of the brief HIV Knowledge Questionnaire. AIDS Educ Prev 2002;14:172–82.
- Strathdee SA, Magis-Rodriguez C, Mays VM, et al. The emerging HIV epidemic on the Mexico-U.S. border: an international case study characterizing the role of epidemiology in surveillance and response. Ann Epidemiol 2012;22:426–38.
- Goldenberg SM, Strathdee SA, Perez-Rosales MD, et al. Mobility and HIV in Central America and Mexico: a critical review. J Immigr Minor Health 2012;14:48–64.
- Brouwer KC, Lozada R, Cornelius WA, et al. Deportation along the U.S.-Mexico border: its relation to drug use patterns and accessing care. J Immigr Minor Health 2009;11:1–6.
- Kendall T, Herrera C, Caballero M, et al. HIV prevention and men who have sex with women and men in México: findings from a qualitative study with HIV-positive men. Cult Health Sex 2007;9:459–72.
- 33. Valente TW. Network interventions. Science 2012;337:49-53.
- 34. Gay and Lesbian Medical Association (GLMA). Health People 2010: Companion document for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) health. 2001. http://www.glma.org/_data/n_0001/resources/live/HealthyCompanionDoc3.pdf (accessed 20 July 2015).
- Winship C, Radbill L. Sampling weights and regression analysis. Soc Methods Res 1994;23:230–57.



HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico: a cross-sectional study

Heather A Pines, David Goodman-Meza, Eileen V Pitpitan, Karla Torres, Shirley J Semple and Thomas L Patterson

BMJ Open 2016 6:

doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010388

Updated information and services can be found at: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/2/e010388

These include:

Supplementary Material Supplementary material can be found at:

http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/suppl/2016/02/04/bmjopen-2015-010

388.DC1.html

References This article cites 31 articles, 9 of which you can access for free at:

http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/2/e010388#BIBL

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative

Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work

non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms,

provided the original work is properly cited and the use is

non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Email alerting

service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the

box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Epidemiology (1439) Global health (301) HIV AIDS (134)

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/